

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/669,826 | SURJAATMADJA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Matthew J. Smith | 3672 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Abandoned

(1) Matthew J. Smith, examiner.

(3) _____

(2) Chasidy Smith.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 October 2006

Time: 10:28a E

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n.a.

Claims discussed:

n.a.

Prior art documents discussed:

n.a.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner Smith contacted Ms. Smith to ask if a response to the 7 April 2006 Office action has been filed. Ms. smith replied, no response filed.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)